Point process models for extreme returns: Harnessing implied volatility

Rodrigo Herrera

Universidad de Talca

Centro de Investigación en Economía Aplicada (CIEA)

joint work with

Adam Clements (Queensland University of Technology) National Centre for Econometric Research (NCER)

Introduction

- · Clustering of extreme events
- · Reliable risk measures[BCBS, 2012]





Let the tail speak for itself!

Motivation

- The CBOE Volatility Index® (IV) is a key measure of market expectations of near-term volatility conveyed by S&P 500 stock index option prices (The VIX).
- Since its introduction in 1993, IV has been considered by many to be the world's premier barometer of investor sentiment and market volatility.





Motivation

- The CBOE Volatility Index® (IV) is a key measure of market expectations of near-term volatility conveyed by S&P 500 stock index option prices (The VIX).
- Since its introduction in 1993, IV has been considered by many to be the world's premier barometer of investor sentiment and market volatility.





Financial extreme events features

Summary:

- · Extremes appear in clusters
- · Excess over a high threshold highly correlated
- · Inter-exceedance times are correlated
- Relationship between size of the exceedances and last elapsed inter-exceedance times
- · IV indices are negatively correlated with stock market indices



Contribution

Research Questions :

- 1. How do extreme shocks in an IV index relate to extreme events in its respective stock market return?
- 1. How can the occurrence and intensity of extreme events in IV indices influence the dynamic behavior on stock market returns and vice versa?



7 | 33

Contribution

Approach : Utilise IV within intensity based point process models for extreme returns.

- Model 1: IV as an exogenous variable influencing the intensity and the size distribution of extreme events.
- Model 2. Extreme movements in IV are treated as events themselves, with their impact on extreme events in equity returns captured through a bivariate Hawkes model.
- \implies Forecasting extreme losses within a Value-at-Risk framework.
- The benchmark \Longrightarrow IV within the GARCH-EVT framework.

Features

- · Temporal clustering of both the occurrence of extremes and the size thereof
- · Cross-sectional feedback between individual exceedance intensities and
- · Feedback between the magnitude of exceedances and their intensity.

Date Description

 The data consists of daily returns for the S&P 500, Nasdaq, DAX 30, Dow Jones and Nikkei stock market indices, and their respective IV indices. Aall series ending December 31, 2013

Outline

Literature Review

Methodology Conditional intensity models Conditional mean and volatility models

Generating and evaluating forecasts conditional risk measures

Empirical results Forecasting risk



Outline

Literature Review

Methodology Conditional intensity models Conditional mean and volatility models

Generating and evaluating forecasts conditional risk measures

Empirical results Forecasting risk



Literature Review

IV indices are an important measure of short-term expected risk

- Blair et al. [2001], Poon and Granger [2003] IV as an exogenous variable in GARCH models ⇒ beneficial in terms of forecasting.
- Becker et al. [2009] IV contain useful information about future jump activity in returns ⇒reflect extreme movements in prices.
- Hilal et al. [2011] conditional approach for extremal dependence between daily returns on VIX futures and S&P500 >>> VIX futures returns are very sensitive to stock market downside risk.
- Peng and Ng [2012] cross-market dependence of five of the most important equity markets and their corresponding volatility indices ⇒ existence of an asymmetric tail dependence.
- Aboura and Wagner [2014] dependence between S&P 500 index returns and VIX index changes => existence of a contemporaneous volatility-return tail dependence for (-) extreme events though not for (+) returns.



Outline

Literature Review

Methodology

Conditional intensity models Conditional mean and volatility models

Generating and evaluating forecasts conditional risk measures

Empirical results Forecasting risk



Univariate Hawkes-POT model

Basic setting

- Let $\{(X_t, Y_t)\}_{t \ge 1}$ be a vector of r.v that represent the log-returns of a stock market index and the associated IV index.
- Define a finite subset of observations $\{(T_i, W_i, Z_i)\}_{i \ge 1}$, where:
- \implies T_i corresponds to occurrence times
- \implies W_i magnitude of exceedances higher then u > 0 ($W_i := X_{T_i} u$)
- \Longrightarrow Z_i the covariate obtained from the IV index ($Z_i := Y_{T_i}$)
 - A Marked Point Process (MPP) $N(t) := N(0,t] = \sum_{i \ge 1} \mathbb{1} \{T_i \le t, W_i = w\}$ with past history or natural filtration $\mathscr{H}_t = \{(T_i, W_i, Z_i) | \forall i : T_i < t\}$

$$\lambda(t, w \mid \mathcal{H}_t) = \lambda_g(t \mid \mathcal{H}_t)g(w \mid \mathcal{H}_t, t), \qquad (1)$$



Univariate Hawkes-POT model

The conditional intensity is characterized by the branching structure of a Hawkes
 process

$$\lambda_g(t \mid \mathscr{H}_t) = \mu + \eta \sum_{i:t_i < t} e^{\delta w_i + \rho z_i} \gamma e^{-\gamma(t - t_i)}, \tag{2}$$

 Motivated by the Pickands–Balkema–de Haan's theorem, the extreme losses are assumed to follow a conditional Generalized Pareto Distribution (GPD)

$$g(w \mid \mathscr{H}_{t}, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\beta(w \mid \mathscr{H}_{t}, t)} \left(1 + \xi \frac{w}{\beta(w \mid \mathscr{H}_{t}, t)} \right)^{-1/\xi - 1} &, \quad \xi \neq 0\\ \frac{1}{\beta(w \mid \mathscr{H}_{t}, t)} \exp\left(-\frac{w}{\beta(w \mid \mathscr{H}_{t}, t)} \right) &, \quad \xi = 0, \end{cases}$$
(3)

where ξ is the shape parameter and $\beta(w \mid \mathscr{H}_t, t)$ is a scale parameter specified as a self-exciting function

$$\beta(w \mid \mathscr{H}_t, t) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \sum_{i: t_i < t} e^{\delta w_i + \rho z_i} \gamma e^{-\gamma(t-t_i)}.$$



Bivariate Hawkes-POT model

Basic setting

- Let $\{(X_t, Y_t)\}_{t \ge 1}$ be a vector of r.v that represent the log-returns of a stock market index and the associated IV index.
- MPP is defined as a vector of point processes $\mathbf{N}(t)$: $\{N_{1}(t), N_{2}(t)\}$

 $\Longrightarrow N_1(t)$ is defined through the pairs $\{(T_i^1, W_i)\}_{i \ge 1}$; the subset of extreme events in the log-returns of the stock market occurring at time T_i^1 over a high threshold $u_1 > 0$, with $W_i := X_{T_i^1} - u_1$.

 $\implies N_2(t)$ is defined by the pairs of events $\{(T_i^2, Z_i)\}_{i \ge 1}$ with $Z_i := Y_{T_i^2} - u_2$, which also characterizes the subset of extreme events occurring in IV at time T_i^2 over a high threshold $u_2 > 0$.

• $\mathscr{H}_{t} = \left\{ \left(T_{i}^{1}, W_{i}\right), \left(T_{j}^{2}, Z_{j}\right) \ \forall i, j : T_{i}^{1} < t \land T_{j}^{2} < t \right\}$ denotes the combined history over all times and marks



Bivariate Hawkes-POT model

• This bivariate MPP includes a bivariate ground process $N_k^g(t) := \sum_{i \ge 1} \mathbbm{1} \left\{ T_i^k \le t \right\}$ with conditional intensity

$$\lambda_{g}^{1}(t \mid \mathscr{H}_{t}) = \mu_{1} + \eta_{11} \sum_{i:t_{i}^{1} < t} e^{\delta_{w_{i}}} \gamma_{1} e^{-\gamma_{1}(t-t_{i}^{1})} + \eta_{12} \sum_{i:t_{i}^{2} < t} e^{\rho_{z_{i}}} \gamma_{2} e^{-\gamma_{2}(t-t_{i}^{2})}$$
(4)
$$\lambda_{g}^{2}(t \mid \mathscr{H}_{t}) = \mu_{2} + \eta_{21} \sum_{i:t_{i}^{1} < t} e^{\delta_{w_{i}}} \gamma_{1} e^{-\gamma_{1}(t-t_{i}^{1})} + \eta_{22} \sum_{i:t_{i}^{2} < t} e^{\rho_{z_{i}}} \gamma_{2} e^{-\gamma_{2}(t-t_{i}^{2})}$$

• Similar to the univariate MPP we also consider a generalized Pareto density for the stock market returns as in (3), but with conditional scale parameter

$$\beta(w \mid \mathscr{H}_{t}, t) = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1} \sum_{i:t_{i}^{1} < t} e^{\delta w_{i}} \gamma_{1} e^{-\gamma_{1}\left(t - t_{i}^{1}\right)} + \beta_{12} \sum_{i:t_{i}^{2} < t} e^{\rho_{z_{i}}} \gamma_{2} e^{-\gamma_{2}\left(t - t_{i}^{2}\right)}.$$
 (5)



Conditional mean and volatility models

The conditional mean of the equity market returns is specified as an Auto Regressive Moving Average (ARMA) process

$$r_t = \mu + \sum_{i=1}^m a_i r_{t-i} + \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \varepsilon_{t-j} + \varepsilon_t.$$
(6)

Where r_t denotes the return on a stock market index at time t, μ the mean, a_i and b_j describe the autoregressive and moving average coefficients, respectively and ε_t denotes the residual term. The residuals are defined by

$$\varepsilon_t = \eta_t \sqrt{h_t}, \qquad \eta_t \sim iid(0,1),$$
(7)

where η_t is the standardized residual and h_t is the conditional variance.



Conditional mean and volatility models

The GARCH specifications considered for the conditional variances which include IV as an exogenous variable are

$$GARCH(1,1):$$

$$h_{t} = \omega + \alpha \varepsilon_{t-1}^{2} + \beta h_{t-1} + \gamma I V_{t-1}$$

$$GJR-GARCH(1,1):$$

$$h_{t} = \omega + \alpha \varepsilon_{t-1}^{2} + \delta \max(0, -\varepsilon_{t-1})^{2} + \beta h_{t-1} + \gamma I V_{t-1}$$

$$EGARCH(1,1):$$

$$\ln h_{t} = \omega + \alpha \varepsilon_{t-1} + \delta(|\varepsilon_{t-1}| - E|\varepsilon_{t-1}|) + \beta \ln h_{t-1} + \gamma \ln I V_{t-1}.$$
(10)

These three conditional volatility specifications are estimated under the following two alternative distributions, namely Student-t and Skew Student-t.



Outline

Literature Review

Methodology Conditional intensity models Conditional mean and volatility models

Generating and evaluating forecasts conditional risk measures

Empirical results Forecasting risk



Conditional risk measures

GARCH-EVT Models

• The corresponding VaR at the α confidence level of the assumed distribution of the residuals η_t , i.e., $VaR_{\alpha}(\eta_t)$: inf $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : P(\eta_t > x) \le 1 - \alpha\}$

$$VaR_{\alpha}^{\iota} = \mu_{t-1} + VaR_{\alpha}(\eta)\sigma_{t-1},$$

where $\mu_{t-1} = \mu + \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}r_{t-i}$ and $\sigma_{t-1} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}\sqrt{h_{t-j}} + \sqrt{h_{t}}.$

Hawkes-POT Models

• A prediction of the VaR in the next instant at the α confidence level is given by

$$\operatorname{VaR}_{\alpha}^{t_{i+1}} = u + \frac{\beta\left(w \mid \mathscr{H}_{t}\right)}{\xi} \left\{ \left(\frac{\lambda_{g}(t_{i+1} \mid \mathscr{H}_{t})}{1 - \alpha}\right)^{\xi} - 1 \right\}.$$



Outline

Literature Review

Methodology Conditional intensity models Conditional mean and volatility models

Generating and evaluating forecasts conditional risk measures

Empirical results

Forecasting risk



Empirical results

| Data | Model | ц | n | α | δ | 0 | Bo | B ₁ | Ĕ | Log. like | AIC |
|-----------|-------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------------|---------|-----------|----------|
| | 1 | 0.031 | 0.386 | 0.046 | 27 660 | 5 351 | 0.004 | 0.017 | -0.097 | 446 904 | -877 807 |
| 500 - VIX | | (0.005) | (0.049) | (0.008) | (3 408) | (2 006) | (0.001) | (0.002) | (0.034) | 110.001 | 011.001 |
| | 2 | 0.033 | -0 449 | 0.054 | 32 389 | (2.000) | 0.004 | 0.019 | -0.092 | 443 718 | -873 435 |
| | 2 | (0.005) | (0.050) | (0.009) | (2 944) | | (0.004) | (0.002) | (0.035) | 440.710 | 070.400 |
| a L | 3 | 0.021 | 0.704 | 0.000) | (2.344) | | 0.001 | 0.002) | 0.033) | 410 805 | -809 609 |
| S | 5 | (0.021 | (0.054) | (0.006) | | | (0.004) | (0.003) | (0.038) | 410.000 | -003.003 |
| | | (0.004) | (0.034) | (0.000) | | | (0.001) | (0.003) | (0.000) | | |
| | 1 | 0.044 | 0.250 | 0.073 | 43 010 | 1 655 | 0.005 | 0.016 | -0 170 | 333 364 | -650 727 |
| - VDAX | ' | (0.005) | (0.046) | (0.010) | (4.257) | (1.026) | (0.003 | (0.002) | (0.022) | 000.004 | -030.727 |
| | 2 | 0.003) | 0.204 | 0.074 | (4.207) | (1.020) | 0.005 | 0.003) | 0.190 | 222.005 | 650 170 |
| | 2 | 0.044 | 0.294 | 0.074 | 43.319 | | 0.005 | 0.010 | -0.160 | 332.065 | -650.170 |
| X | | (0.005) | (0.045) | (0.010) | (4.076) | | (0.001) | (0.003) | (0.034) | | |
| ð | 3 | 0.027 | 0.736 | 0.050 | | | 0.004 | 0.042 | -0.034 | 292.604 | -573.208 |
| | | (0.005) | (0.057) | (0.007) | | | (0.001) | (0.004) | (0.035) | | |
| | | | | | | 0.007 | 0.005 | | | 150.000 | 005 0 40 |
| ~ | 1 | 0.060 | 0.164 | 0.066 | 22.564 | 2.927 | 0.005 | 0.023 | -0.101 | 150.623 | -285.246 |
| Š | | (0.009) | (0.067) | (0.012) | (5.068) | (0.906) | (0.001) | (0.004) | (0.050) | | |
| <u>.</u> | 2 | 0.060 | 0.207 | 0.078 | 31.455 | | 0.004 | 0.025 | -0.029 | 146.767 | -279.534 |
| e e | | (0.009) | (0.072) | (0.016) | (4.118) | | (0.001) | (0.004) | (0.040) | | |
| Ē | 3 | 0.034 | 0.630 | 0.047 | | | 0.004 | 0.038 | 0.133 | 121.026 | -230.052 |
| | | (0.008) | (0.093) | (0.012) | | | (0.001) | (0.007) | (0.059) | | |

Table: Estimates of the univariate Hawkes-POT models used for the analysis of the cluster behavior for extreme events of negative log-returns in stock markets and positive level-changes in IV indices. Standard errors are in parenthesis. Log.like corresponds to the log-likelihood CLEA model. AIC is the Akaike Information Criterion.



Empirical results

| Data | Model | μ_1 | η_{11} | η_{12} | α_1 | δ | μ_2 | η_{21} | η_{22} | α_2 | ρ | β_0 | β_1 | β_{12} | ξ | log. like | AIC |
|-----------|-------|---------|-------------|-------------|------------|---------|---------|-------------|-------------|------------|---------|-----------|-----------|--------------|---------|-----------|---------|
| -500 -VIX | 1 | 0.034 | 0.445 | 0.000 | 0.054 | 32.647 | 0.065 | 0.025 | 0.318 | 0.031 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.019 | 0.001 | -0.093 | -1461.07 | 2950.14 |
| | | (0.005) | (0.050) | (0.003) | (0.008) | (2.933) | (0.010) | (0.017) | (0.118) | (0.014) | (0.002) | (0.001) | (0.002) | (0.001) | (0.035) | | |
| | 2 | 0.034 | 0.445 | 0.000 | 0.054 | 32.647 | 0.065 | 0.025 | 0.318 | 0.031 | | 0.004 | 0.019 | | -0.093 | -1461.07 | 2946.14 |
| | | (0.005) | (0.050) | (0.003) | (0.008) | (2.933) | (0.010) | (0.017) | (0.118) | (0.014) | | (0.000) | (0.002) | | (0.035) | | |
| Š | 3 | 0.020 | 0.803 | 0.000 | 0.035 | | 0.063 | 0.000 | 0.378 | 0.029 | | 0.003 | 0.023 | 0.019 | 0.035 | -1491.98 | 3007.96 |
| | | (0.004) | (0.055) | (0.005) | (0.006) | | (0.010) | (0.002) | (0.099) | (0.010) | | (0.001) | (0.005) | (0.009) | (0.037) | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 0.000 | 0.180 | 0.643 | 0.094 | 49.785 | 0.041 | 0.023 | 0.577 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.006 | 0.015 | 0.001 | -0.192 | -1357.04 | 2742.08 |
| VDAX | | (0.007) | (0.039) | (0.070) | (0.013) | (4.593) | (0.010) | (0.014) | (0.093) | (0.001) | (0.001) | (0.001) | (0.002) | (0.001) | (0.034) | | |
| | 2 | 0.000 | 0.180 | 0.644 | 0.094 | 49.785 | 0.041 | 0.023 | 0.577 | 0.004 | | 0.006 | 0.015 | | -0.192 | -1357.04 | 2738.08 |
| × | | (0.007) | (0.040) | (0.110) | (0.013) | (4.604) | (0.011) | (0.015) | (0.126) | (0.001) | | (0.001) | (0.002) | | (0.034) | | |
| PA | 3 | 0.017 | 0.699 | 0.137 | 0.042 | | 0.051 | 0.001 | 0.493 | 0.039 | | 0.003 | 0.037 | 0.017 | -0.055 | -1476.20 | 2976.40 |
| | | (0.007) | (0.068) | (0.089) | (0.009) | | (0.010) | (0.061) | (0.116) | (0.014) | | (0.001) | (0.005) | (0.008) | (0.039) | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| _ | 1 | 0.057 | 0.168 | 0.068 | 0.080 | 33.449 | 0.066 | 0.019 | 0.318 | 0.066 | 0.003 | 0.005 | 0.023 | 0.001 | -0.033 | -1059.58 | 2147.16 |
| kei - VXJ | | (0.010) | (0.079) | (0.034) | (0.017) | (4.860) | (0.009) | (0.013) | (0.096) | (0.024) | (0.001) | (0.001) | (0.004) | (0.001) | (0.040) | | |
| | 2 | 0.057 | 0.168 | 0.068 | 0.080 | 33.449 | 0.066 | 0.019 | 0.318 | 0.066 | | 0.005 | 0.023 | | -0.033 | -1059.58 | 2143.16 |
| | | (0.010) | (0.079) | (0.034) | (0.017) | (4.860) | (0.009) | (0.013) | (0.096) | (0.024) | | (0.001) | (0.004) | | (0.040) | | |
| Ż | 3 | 0.013 | 0.712 | 0.135 | 0.021 | | 0.068 | 0.000 | 0.322 | 0.082 | | 0.003 | 0.022 | 0.030 | 0.113 | -1076.99 | 2177.98 |
| | | (0.010) | (0.097) | (0.069) | (0.007) | | (0.008) | (0.001) | (0.079) | (0.019) | | (0.001) | (0.008) | (0.008) | (0.055) | | |

Table: Estimates of the bivariate Hawkes-POT models used for the analysis of the cluster behavior for extreme events of negative log-returns in stock markets and positive level-changes in IV indices, ending in December 31, 2012. Standard errors are in parenthesis.



Univariate Hawkes Model I: $\lambda_g(t \mid \mathscr{H}_t) = \mu + \eta \sum_{i:t_i < t} \gamma e^{-\gamma(t-t_i)}$





Univariate Hawkes Model II: $\lambda_g(t \mid \mathscr{H}_t) = \mu + \eta \sum_{i:t_i < t} e^{\delta_{W_i}} \gamma e^{-\gamma(t-t_i)}$



FEN UTALCA

Univariate Hawkes Model III: $\lambda_g(t \mid \mathscr{H}_t) = \mu + \eta \sum_{i:t_i < t} e^{\delta w_i + \rho z_i} \gamma e^{-\gamma(t-t_i)}$





Bivariate Hawkes Model I:





Bivariate Hawkes Model II:



CIEA Centro de Investigación en Economia Aplicada

Bivariate Hawkes Model III:





VaR Forecasting

| | | | 5 | S&P500 -V | /IX | | DAX - VDAX | | | | | |
|----------------------|-------|------|------|-----------|------|-------|------------|------|-------|------|-------|--|
| | α | Exc. | LRuc | LRind | LRcc | DQhit | Exc. | LRuc | LRind | LRcc | DQhit | |
| EGARCH+IV | 0.95 | 10 | 0.00 | 0.52 | 0.00 | 0.53 | 25 | 1.00 | 0.16 | 0.36 | 0.17 | |
| | 0.99 | 0 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.01 | 1.00 | 5 | 1.00 | 0.75 | 0.95 | 0.75 | |
| | 0.999 | 0 | 0.32 | 1.00 | 0.61 | 1.00 | 0 | 0.32 | 1.00 | 0.61 | 1.00 | |
| Hawkes-POT (M1) | 0.95 | 15 | 0.03 | 0.34 | 0.05 | 0.35 | 16 | 0.05 | 0.30 | 0.08 | 0.32 | |
| | 0.99 | 2 | 0.12 | 0.90 | 0.30 | 0.90 | 3 | 0.33 | 0.85 | 0.61 | 0.85 | |
| | 0.999 | 0 | 0.32 | 1.00 | 0.61 | 1.00 | 0 | 0.32 | 1.00 | 0.61 | 1.00 | |
| Hawkes-POT (M2) | 0.95 | 15 | 0.03 | 0.34 | 0.05 | 0.35 | 16 | 0.05 | 0.30 | 0.08 | 0.32 | |
| | 0.99 | 2 | 0.12 | 0.90 | 0.30 | 0.90 | 3 | 0.33 | 0.85 | 0.61 | 0.85 | |
| | 0.999 | 0 | 0.32 | 1.00 | 0.61 | 1.00 | 0 | 0.32 | 1.00 | 0.61 | 1.00 | |
| Hawkes-POT (M3) | 0.95 | 15 | 0.03 | 0.34 | 0.05 | 0.35 | 13 | 0.01 | 0.40 | 0.02 | 0.42 | |
| | 0.99 | 2 | 0.12 | 0.90 | 0.30 | 0.90 | 3 | 0.33 | 0.85 | 0.61 | 0.85 | |
| | 0.999 | 0 | 0.32 | 1.00 | 0.61 | 1.00 | 0 | 0.32 | 1.00 | 0.61 | 1.00 | |
| Biv. Hawkes-POT (M1) | 0.95 | 18 | 0.13 | 0.25 | 0.16 | 0.26 | 20 | 0.28 | 0.20 | 0.24 | 0.21 | |
| | 0.99 | 1 | 0.03 | 0.95 | 0.09 | 0.95 | 3 | 0.33 | 0.85 | 0.61 | 0.85 | |
| | 0.999 | 0 | 0.32 | 1.00 | 0.61 | 1.00 | 0 | 0.32 | 1.00 | 0.61 | 1.00 | |
| Biv. Hawkes-POT (M2) | 0.95 | 19 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.23 | 20 | 0.28 | 0.20 | 0.24 | 0.21 | |
| | 0.99 | 3 | 0.33 | 0.85 | 0.61 | 0.85 | 3 | 0.33 | 0.85 | 0.61 | 0.85 | |
| | 0.999 | 0 | 0.32 | 1.00 | 0.61 | 1.00 | 0 | 0.32 | 1.00 | 0.61 | 1.00 | |
| Biv. Hawkes-POT (M3) | 0.95 | 19 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.23 | 20 | 0.28 | 0.20 | 0.24 | 0.21 | |
| | 0.99 | 3 | 0.33 | 0.85 | 0.61 | 0.85 | 4 | 0.64 | 0.80 | 0.87 | 0.80 | |
| | 0.999 | 0 | 0.32 | 1.00 | 0.61 | 1.00 | 2 | 0.11 | 0.90 | 0.28 | 0.90 | |

Table: Backtesting accuracy test results for the GARCH and Hawkes-POT models proposed, from January 2, 2012 to December 31, 2013.



Outline

Literature Review

Methodology Conditional intensity models Conditional mean and volatility models

Generating and evaluating forecasts conditional risk measures

Empirical results Forecasting risk



- The role of implied volatility (IV) for forecasting the risk of extreme events in the form of VaR.
- This paper proposes a number of novel MPP models that include IV (univariate and bivariate)
- The empirical analysis: Major equity market indices and their associated IV indices.
- In-sample results: all of the models generate accurate VaR estimates that adequately pass a range of tests.



- · Forecasting: to 1-day ahead prediction of VaR.
 - GARCH style models that include IV generate inaccurate forecasts of VaR and fail a number of tests relating to the rejection frequency of the VaR predictions.
 - Univariate MPP models provide more accurate forecasts with shortcomings at less extreme levels of significance.
 - The bivariate models that include the extreme IV events produce the most accurate forecasts of VaR across the full range of levels of significance.
- The take-home message: while IV is certainly of benefit for predicting extreme movements in equity returns, the framework within which it is used is important.
- The bivariate MPP model proposed here leads to superior forecasts of extreme risk in a VaR context.



Bibliography

- S. Aboura and N. Wagner. Extreme asymmetric volatility: Vix and s&p 500. *Working paper*, 06-2014:41, 2014.
- BCBS. Fundamental review of the trading book. *Basel Committee on Banking Supervision*, 2012.
- R. Becker, A. Clements, and A. McClelland. The jump component of s&p 500 volatility and the vix index. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 33(6):1033–1038, 2009.
- B. J. Blair, S. H. Poon, and S. J. Taylor. Forecasting s&p 100 volatility: the incremental information content of implied volatilities and high-frequency index returns. *Journal* of Econometrics, 105:5–26, 2001.
- S. Hilal, S.-H. Poon, and J. Tawn. Hedging the black swan: Conditional heteroskedasticity and tail dependence in s&p500 and vix. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 35(9):2374–2387, 2011.
- Y. Peng and W. L. Ng. Analysing financial contagion and asymmetric market dependence with volatility indices via copulas. *Annals of Finance*, 8(1):49–74, 2012.
- S.-H. Poon and C. W. J. Granger. Forecasting volatility in financial markets: a review Journal of Economic Literature, 41:478–539, 2003.